

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

GL.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/684,044	10/06/2000	Eilaz Babaev	24149-11	3047	
7:	590 08/11/2004	1/2004 EXAMINER		INER	
George Likourezos			THOMPSON, MICHAEL M		
Carter Deluca Farrell & Schmidt LLP 445 Broad Hollow Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 225 Melville, NY 11747			3763	3763	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\mathcal{A}$				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	9,				
Advisory Action		09/684,044	BABAEV, EILAZ					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Michael M. Thompson	3763	-				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
There final r condit	REPLY FILED 01 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (' tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe- ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper rech places the appli	ply to a cation in				
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
, -	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) [2	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 June 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d)	) 🔲 they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:		•	•				
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4, 6, 14, 21, 23, 25, 32, 40-42,</u>	50-52, and 59.						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2,3,5,7-13,		<u>3</u> .					
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	•				
9.🛛	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>06/01/2004</u> .					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 50, and 59 to include new limitations as claim 1 now recites that, "main body defines an opening," while claim 50 newly recites that the "opening is defined by a main body having a distal end coaxially palced about the most distal end of said transducer," and claim 59 newly recites some functional language as to the propagation path.

M

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700